REMARKS

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

The abstract has been rewritten to contain less than 150 words, as required by the Examiner. Therefore, the objection to the abstract has been rendered moot.

The specification has been amended to recite "administering" rather than "administrating". No new matter has been added to the specification by the correction of this typographical error.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the hardening step is a result of the evaporating step, thus rendering moot the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Support for this amendment is found on page 6, lines 16-17 of Applicants' specification.

Claim 4 has been amended to recite "administering" rather than "administrating", thus rendering moot the objection to the claim.

No new matter has been added to the application by the above-discussed amendments.

Applicants' appreciate the indication on page 3 of the Office Action that claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 8 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that the grounds of objection and rejection set forth by the Examiner have been overcome, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

If, after reviewing this Amendment, the Examiner feels there are any issues remaining which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone in order to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Shinya MAENOSONO et al.

Bv:

Amy E. **Sc**hmid

Registration No. 55,965 Attorney for Applicants

AES/nrj Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 August 1, 2007